DBD-FA14-4018720-S

: SUPERIOR COURT

CYNTHIA LAYDEN

: JUDICIAL DISTRICT

OF DANBURY

v.

: AT DANBURY, CONNECTICUT

CHARLES LAKE

: NOVEMBER 4, 2022

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE HONORABLE HEIDI G. WINSLOW, JUDGE TRIAL REFEREE

## APPEARANCES:

Representing the Defendant:

CHARLES LAKE Self-Represented Party

> Recorded and Transcribed By: Angela Fiorello Court Recording Monitor 146 White Street Danbury, CT 06810

1 THE COURT: Well, I appreciate the patience shown by the parties in the matter of Lake and 2 Layden, and I'll invite you to approach if you're 3 4 here. And, let's identify who is here today. 5 MR. LAKE: Hi. 6 THE COURT: Good morning. Your name, sir? 7 MR. LAKE: Good morning, my name is Charles 8 Lake. 9 THE COURT: Thank you. ATTY. WONG: And, Your Honor, Mee Wong with the 10 Attorney General's Office for the state's interest. 11 12 THE COURT: Thank you. I anticipate we'll be taking evidence this morning. Let's swear in both 13 14 parties, please. THE CLERK: Please raise your right hands. Do 15 you solemnly swear or solemnly and sincerely affirm, 16 as the case may be, that the evidence you shall give 17 concerning this case shall be the truth, the whole 18 truth and nothing but the truth so help you God or 19 upon penalty of perjury? 20 MR. LAKE: I do. 21 22 ATTY. WONG: I do. THE CLERK: Please state your name and address, 23 for the record, sir. 24 25 MR. LAKE: Charles Lake. THE CLERK: And, your address? 26 27 MR. LAKE: 72 Old Route 23, Cairo, New York,

1 12413. 2 THE CLERK: Thank you. 3 ATTY. WONG: And, Your Honor, Mee Wong the 4 Attorney --5 THE COURT: Your business address, of course. 6 ATTY. WONG: -- I believe it's 165 Capital Avenue in Hartford. 7 8 THE COURT: Thank you. You're both welcome to 9 be seated. 10 ATTY. WONG: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: I'm sorry, what was the town in New 12 York where you are, sir? 13 MR. LAKE: Cairo, C-a-i-r-o. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. 15 MR. LAKE: No problem (indiscernible). 16 THE COURT: Cairo, got it. Got it. 17 MR. LAKE: They -- they say it a little 18 different than Cairo so, you know, they gotta be 19 different. 20 THE COURT: I understand. 21 ATTY. WONG: And, Your Honor, if I could just 22 mention to the Court that I do have a jurisdictional 23 argument, initially, with regard to following the 24 mandates of the statute that allows this appeal to 25 be taken. I wasn't sure if the Court wanted to hear 26 that first or go right into -27 THE COURT: Well, I'll, certainly, hear what

the issue is. Whether we then take evidence on it first or not, I'm not sure.

ATTY. WONG: Okay.

THE COURT: What is that, please?

ATTY. WONG: Your Honor, the -- taking an appeal from the magistrate's decision is governed by Connecticut General Statute 46b-231n, and I reviewed the gentleman's appeal papers. The statute does require that the gentleman certify that he's served all parties. It also indicates that when there's a IV-D agency involved they are supposed to be certified, as well, in terms of service. The other way to accomplish service for the IV-D agency is to send a certified copy of the appeal papers to the Attorney General's Office. And, I wanted to represent to the Court that we never received that.

In addition, the statute --

THE COURT: You never received any --

ATTY. WONG: -- a copy of the - anything. An 
- the appeal papers, certification, we haven't

received any - and I also would note for the Court,

if the Court does review the -- the appeal papers,

the certification area is blank. It doesn't list

any of the parties, the IV-D agency or the Attorney

General's Office.

In addition, Your Honor, the -- the statute does require that the appeal be taken in -- in order

1	for it to be timely it has to be taken within 14
2	days of the magistrate's decision, and if the Court
3	looks at the dates, the magistrate's decision was
4	entered on August 3 <sup>rd</sup> . The appeal was filed 15 days
5	later. The statute is clear that it's to be filed
6	within 14 days, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: All right. Well, let me just take
8	a quick look at that statute -
9	ATTY. WONG: Certainly.
10	THE COURT: and then, I'll hear from Mr.
11	Lake on these issues.
12	ATTY. WONG: And, Your Honor, I do have a
13	courtesy copy. I did copy the statute book. I
14	don't know if the Court would like me to hand that
15	up?
16	THE COURT: Why don't you give it to Mr. Lake
17	since you have that courtesy copy
18	ATTY. WONG: Do you think -
19	THE COURT: because I have the statute so, I
20	can look at that.
21	ATTY. WONG: I'll do (indiscernible).
22	MS. LAKE: Do you have your glasses?
23.	MR. LAKE: No, I forgot them. Can I borrow
24	your pen?
25	ATTY. WONG: Sure.
26	THE COURT: Reading glasses?
27	MS. LAKE: Yes.

1 THE COURT: You have some that he can use? MS. LAKE: Well, we'll see if he can use mine. 2 3 That's what I'm --4 THE COURT: All right. If you are not able to use hers then, I can get you some of the generic 5 6 type. 7 MS. LAKE: You're good? 8 MR. LAKE: Yeah. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am. So, we'll 10 both look at it separately, but at the same time, 11 Mr. Lake. Have you had a chance to look that over, Mr. Lake? 12 13 MR. LAKE: Yeah. Yes. Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Certainly, I'm going to give you an 14 15 opportunity to respond to the -- the two claims 16 raised by Attorney Wong. Her first claim is that in 17 the filing of the appeal there was a lack of notice 18 given as required in the statute to --19 MR. LAKE: I had -- I had a problem with the --20 the marshal's office. I had sent an -- I was told 21 to get a hold of somebody up in Hartford to serve 22 the -- the Attorney General's Office, which I did, 23 and he told me to email the paperwork and I can't 24 remember the name he wanted me to use. I sent all 25 this to the -- to the clerk's office downstair --26 the Pat -- at the clerk's office, Pat Perez, because 27 when I -- when -- when they -- the -- the marshal

to the Attorney General, but sent it back to the court and the court lost it and just like the	У
3 court and the court lost it and just like the	
could and the could lost it and just like the	,
did with my my brief and exhibits that I drop	ped
off in May. So, I I had no idea they weren't	
served. I asked for them to be served. I I'	ve
got the emails. I mean, I didn't know I needed	
8 them. So, I	
9 THE COURT: Well, what you must do is, in t	he
filing of the petition for the appeal, you must	have
a certification that you have served the Attorne	У
General, as well as, any other	
MR. LAKE: There's nobody. Cynthia is dead	
She she died in 87.	
THE COURT: Okay. And, that's one matter.	
16 That would be Ms	
MR. LAKE: Layden.	
THE COURT: Layden. Okay. How about Ms.	-
MR. LAKE: Lake.	
THE COURT: Lake?	
MR. LAKE: That's my mother. She's 95. Sh	e
don't come out of the house.	
THE COURT: But you didn't send a certifica	tion
to her?	
MR. LAKE: She said she didn't want it. We	
haven't spoke in years.	
THE COURT: Then how could you have heard f	rom

her that she didn't want it?

MR. LAKE: My sister Robin.

THE COURT: So, she didn't tell you she didn't want it. She told somebody else.

MR. LAKE: She don't talk hardly at all. She don't know who she is.

THE COURT: All right. So, there is -- does seem to be a problem here. Now, what's the second part of this that is raised by Attorney Wong? She says that since the statute requires that the appeal be filed within 14 days, isn't it problematic that you filed it on the 15th day?

MR. LAKE: I had no idea I could file an appeal until I got talking to somebody and I did it as fast as possible. Coming down -- because I had to file with the court -- I had -- I'm -- I live over two and a half hours away. I'm on disability. I only get \$800.00 a month. My wife is retired now so, I don't have her income. She don't collect social security, yet. She -- in fact, she's leaving tomorrow for California for a job. You know, I've been trying to -- to bend over backwards to do everything the right way with -- with -- with the State of Connecticut. And, I have been pummeled the whole way. Nobody will look at my documentation that comes from the State of Connecticut, and just tell me, pay the damned bill. Well, I paid the bill

once. I don't need to pay it again.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

THE COURT: Well, we're restricting ourselves to the issue of jurisdiction, at this point.

MR. LAKE: Yes. I'm trying to -- trying to be -- it's very frustrating for me, though. It -- I mean, I've had -- I've got two kids that won't even speak to me because of -- of what they've been tol -- told about, you know, that I -- I'm like. I've qot another child, Steven, who I'm going to pick up after court here who was raised by his grandparents who was beaten and -- and -- and he -- he's -- he's a challenge. He's forty something years old. He's been in prison. He -- he -- he's an amputee, and he's like that because of the way he was raised, and the state wouldn't even let me see him, but they gave me custody of his -- his sister. And, that was all because of the way that they were abandoned by their mother. This -- the -- the son was allowed to go to the grandparent's house so, she abandoned him at the grandparent's house, her parents and abandoned my daughter in her apartment and they didn't find her for several days later.

I was an over the road trucker. So, I would come home every two to three months. Back in December of the year before --

THE COURT: Can -- can we interrupt for a moment? Is - is that your - a relative of yours?

1 MR. LAKE: Oh, my wife. She's got stomach 2 problems. We don't, usually, eat out. 3 THE COURT: I see. 4 MR. LAKE: We had to eat out this morning 5 because we had to leave --6 THE COURT: It looked as though somebody came 7 in to fetch her. THE CLERK: Attorney Bowser, he doesn't work 8 9 here. I don't know what case he's here for today, 10 but I imagine something happened. 11 THE COURT: Are -- is she here for something 12 else today or --13 MR. LAKE: No. She -- she, probably, went to 14 the restroom. 15 THE CLERK: Yeah. I - I think they just left 16 at the same time. 17 THE COURT: Oh, just a coincidence? THE CLERK: Yeah. 18 THE COURT: Oh. I see. 19 20 MR. LAKE: Yeah. 21 THE COURT: Okay. MR. LAKE: Because we -- we don't, usually, eat 22 23 out and we ate out and both of us are feeling kind 24 of lousy. THE COURT: I see. All right. Well, I -- I 25 just wanted to make sure there was no, like, third 26 27 person who came with you today that might have --

1	MR. LAKE: No, no, no.
2	THE COURT: been having a problem.
3	MR. LAKE: No, no.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	MR. LAKE: So,
6	THE COURT: I'm going to do this today, Mr.
7	Lake. I'm going to tell you right up front that the
8	issues raised by Attorney Wong, on behalf of the
9	assistant Attorney well, on behalf of the
10	Attorney General's Office, are legitimate
11	jurisdictional claims with regard to the processing
12	of an appeal. So, hear me out for a minute. I'm
13	I'm going to deny the appeal based on the
14	jurisdictional issues, that is, the appeal was not
15	filed in a timely fashion and the necessary service
16	upon other parties, including the State, was not
17	effectuated.
18	Now, having said that and I I will tell you
19	a couple of things. First of all, you have a right
20	to appeal my decision to the Appellate Court if you
21	feel that legally I have made an incorrect decision.
22	That must be done
23	MR. LAKE: Can I ask you a question?
24	THE COURT: within a limited period of time.
25	It's up to you to check. But it would be limited to
26	the issue of whether I ruled correctly on this issue
27	of jurisdiction. The number two thing I want to say

1 to you is that despite the fact that I'm ruling 2 against you, I'm going to allow you to make a record 3 4 MR. LAKE: Okay. THE COURT: -- of the things that you want to 5 6 say today so that should the Court be incorrect 7 about jurisdictional ruling that you would be able to, in your appeal if you took one to the Appellate 8 9 Court, you could say, okay, I -- I want to say, here's what I would have said if I'd been given the 10 11 opportunity by the judge to say it. 12 Now, let's turn to that next. Okay. So, one 13 of the things when you are appealing the decision 14 from the magistrate is that -- I do have the 15 transcript. You did order that or somebody ordered 16 it --17 MR. LAKE: Yes. 18 THE COURT: -- which I have read. It's not, 19 perfectly, clear to me from the transcript, exactly, 20 what you were seeking to modify when you --21 MR. LAKE: Okay. 22 THE COURT: -- were in front of the magistrate. 23 What ruling of the court, and I need to have the 24 specific date of that court ruling that you were 25 seeking to modify, and -- and there may have been 26 because there are two cases involved here, --

MR. LAKE: Yes, there is.

27

1 THE COURT: -- more than one date. 2 MR. LAKE: Right. Now, --3 THE COURT: So, if you could clarify, it's one 4 of the things that wasn't, particularly, clear to me 5 from the transcript, exactly, what you were seeking 6 to modify, what order of the magistrate's court and 7 the date and the ruling that you were seeking to 8 modify. Could you give me that information? MR. LAKE: I don't -- well, in 2014 and 15 my 9 10 congressman told me to come back to court, that they 11 had things straightened out here in Connecticut in 12 the family courts. 13 THE COURT: I don't think you're answering my 14 question. I need to know what you were in fron --15 MR. LAKE: So, I don't know the exact last date 16 17 THE COURT: Well, you need to tell me. That's 18 important. I need to know --19 MR. LAKE: -- that I seen --THE COURT: -- what you're seeking to modify 20 21 and that means I need to know what court order -22 what is the court order that you're seeking to 23 modify? 24 MS. LAKE: Do you have something in that 25 (indiscernible). 26 THE COURT: Yeah, your -- you don't get to prompt him, ma'am. 27

1 MS. LAKE: I'm -- I'm sorry. 2 MR. LAKE: In 2015, I was in --3 THE COURT: You think there was an order in 2015? 4 MR. LAKE: -- two thousand fourteen and fifteen 5 we -- it was 15 the last time I was in court before 6 7 this here, about --THE COURT: So, I should be looking for an 8 order in the file from 2015 --9 MR. LAKE: -- fifteen. 10 THE COURT: -- that you're seeking to modify? 11 MR. LAKE: Yes. 12 13 THE COURT: That you sought to modify in front 14 of the magistrate? 15 MR. LAKE: Right. THE COURT: All right. Let me take -- let me 16 17 see if I can find it. I wonder if I have the full 18 file here.? MR. LAKE: It's been shifted around. 19 THE COURT: Yeah, I quess I do. Okay, bear 20 21 with just a moment, please. All right, there's a ruling in 2014. It looks as though it's from Oct -22 no, I'm sorry, from September, September 24, 2014. 23 24 I'm looking at the file in the matter of Lake and 25 Lake. And, on that date there was a finding of an 26 arrearage as of September  $22^{nd}$ , 2014, of \$17,182.00. 27 Now, is that the order that you were seeking to

1 modify? 2 MR. LAKE: Yes. Yes. THE COURT: All right, and that's in the Lake 3 4 versus Lake. MR. LAKE: Yes. 5 THE COURT: Now, was a -- the same date --6 MR. LAKE: Would be for the other one. 7 THE COURT: -- for the other one. 8 MR. LAKE: For the -- for the same deal. 9 THE COURT: All right, so, let me find that 10 order, also. What I'm seeing in the other one is an 11 order from July 23, 2014. 12 13 MR. LAKE: Oh. I don't know. He heard them both --14 THE COURT: That's the same -- that's --15 16 MR. LAKE: -- on the same day. 17 THE COURT: -- that's the -- that's that other case, too. That's -18 19 MR. LAKE: Lake versus Layden. 20 ATTY. WONG: Your Honor, if I may? 21 THE COURT: Yeah. ATTY. WONG: Whenever the gentleman was before 22 the magistrate court, both fi -- he would file the 23 same or similar motion in both files so, they were 24 always heard on the same day and if there was a 25 continuance they would be continued on the same day. 26 And, then, it is true that the final decision for 27

1	the 2014 motion, it is on the same day. The final
2	decision was July 23 <sup>rd</sup> , 2014, for both cases.
3	THE COURT: Yeah, I that's what I was just
4	going to say. I see another order of the same
5	arrearage, \$17,182.00 was entered on July 23, 2014,
6	so, there appeared to have been two rulings by the
7	magistrate. This the last one
8	MR. LAKE: Yeah, but the other one should have
9	been for four thousand -
10	THE COURT: but that's on the that's on
11	the Lake file.
12	MR. LAKE: Four thou - no, on the Layden one,
13	it should be around four thousand one hundred and
14	THE COURT: That's what I'm looking for. So,
15	MR. LAKE: but
16	ATTY. WONG: I believe
17	THE COURT: give me just a moment.
18	MR. LAKE: Yeah.
19	ATTY. WONG: it may be marked, Your Honor,
20	as entry number 108 for the Cynthia Layden case.
21	THE COURT: Right. I see 106, 108, got it, I
22	think. Just a moment, please.
23	MR. LAKE: I'm sorry for the aggravation.
24	THE COURT: No, no. Well, you know, since you
25	couldn't give me the exact dates, I've got to find,
26	exactly, what it is. All right. So, yes, seven
27	that's July 22, 2014, \$4,166.81 found to be an

1	arrearage at that date. And, that's in the Layden -
2	
3	MR. LAKE: Yes, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: case. Now, if Mrs. Layden if
5	Ms. Layden, excuse me, is deceased, to whom are you
6	paying the child support?
7	MR. LAKE: That's what I'd like to know.
8	ATTY. WONG: It's it's a state arrearage,
9	Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Oh, it's a state arrearage.
11	MR. LAKE: But,
12	ATTY. WONG: Yes.
13	MR. LAKE: but
14	THE COURT: I see. Okay.
15	MR. LAKE: Your Honor, the child
16	ATTY. WONG: There there was public
17	assistance.
18	MR. LAKE: child support never stopped.
19	That's the problem I have.
20-	THE COURT: Well, I I don't
21	MR. LAKE: I'll explain
22	THE COURT: that's not the the appeal
23	that's not what you're going back to and trying to
24	change, though. What you're trying to change are
25	the orders that were made, if I understood you
26	correctly, in 2014.
27	MR. LAKE: Yes, by showing that I didn't owe

that in 2014. 1 2 THE COURT: But Mr. Lake --MR. LAKE: I was double --3 THE COURT: -- wait a minute. Did you take an 4 5 appeal of that decision? MR. LAKE: I -- I was told I couldn't by -- by 6 Michelle Lockwood. She came over to my wife and I 7 when we were outside the courthouse -- outside the 8 9 courtroom. 10 THE COURT: Is she your lawyer? I don't know who Michelle Lockwood is. 11 12 MR. LAKE: She was the person for child support 13 enforcement. 14 ATTY. WONG: Your Honor, --THE COURT: All right, but you didn't get any 15 16 legal advice? 17 MR. LAKE: I tried to. I'm disabled and I'm --18 I'm on Social Security and everybody wants \$300.00 19 an hour and that would -- I -- I would -- wouldn't 20 be able to do it and I couldn't find nobody to take 21 it --22 THE COURT: All right. So, here's the problem. 23 MR. LAKE: -- pro bono. THE COURT: Here's the problem. I -- it's --24 25 it's not possible to modify a finding of an 26 arrearage. It's possible to only to appeal a 27 finding of an arrearage. It's saying that there was

an error made by --1 MR. LAKE: That's -- that --2 3 THE COURT: -- the magistrate --4 MR. LAKE: -- that -- that --5 THE COURT: -- but if you don't file that appeal within 14 days then, it's a done deal. You 6 7 don't get to modify a finding of an arrearage. It just can't be done. 8 MR. LAKE: I've been trying to show that in 9 1994 the last day -- bill I got, and all my children 10 11 were emancipated was \$860.35 for both accounts. 12 And, on the Layden's --13 THE COURT: But you see, here's the problem, 14 Mr. Lake, that what you're trying to say that the 15 magistrate made a mistake back in 2014. If a magistrate makes a mistake, your remedy is to appeal 16 that decision. You cannot, under the law, modify 17 that decision after the appeal has gone by. So, --18 19 MR. LAKE: But, --THE COURT: -- just as you have a problem today 20 21 with the fact that the appeal wasn't taken within 14 days, so also, in any attempt to change these 22 arrearage amounts, your window of opportunity went 23 by back in 2014. Now, I've given you the legal 24 ruling on that, which is what the magistrate did, as 25 well, by the way, but I did say that I would let you 26

27

be heard.

1 MR. LAKE: Okay. THE COURT: And, I'm going to let you be heard. 2 3 MR. LAKE: Thank you. 4 THE COURT: So, go ahead. 5 MR. LAKE: Okay, in 1994 I receive -- received this --6 7 ATTY. WONG: Your Honor, --8 MR. LAKE: -- bill for -- for \$860.00 for both 9 accounts. 10 ATTY. WONG: -- Your Honor, I have to object. 11 The appeals that are taken from the magistrate 12 court, they're based on what the record is at the 13 time of the hearing. And, if there was any 14 additional evidence that's supposed to be -- that 15 the gentleman wants to submit during arguments, 16 according to the statute, he's supposed to make a motion to the court that he intends to -- to submit 17 18 additional evidence and I don't believe that there's 19 any motion before the court. And, it had to be 20 prior to this court hearing. 21 THE COURT: It's quite clear from the 22 transcript that Mr. Lake wanted to present documents 23 and evidence to the magistrate and the magistrate made his ruling just as I've explained it, that if a 24 25 timely appeal wasn't taken Mr. Lake had forfeited 26 the right to raise a question about the arrearages,

but I did say at the beginning that I was going to

2.7

1	allow Mr. Lake to be heard. And, frankly, it's as a
2	courtesy, at this point. Legally, he is on very
3	shaky ground, as he would have to understand from
4	what I've said that since no appeal was taken the
5	magistrate ruled correctly, and that is when when
6	the hearing was held on August 3 <sup>rd</sup> , but that's a
7	secondary issue to the fact that I've already
8	indicated that the appeal can't go forward for
9	jurisdictional reasons.
10	That having been said, nobody is here at this
11	point. Mr. Lake wants the court's attention and I'm
12	going to give him my attention.
13	ATTY. WONG: Very well, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: All right. So, the document you
15	want to put forward is handed up to the clerk?
16	MR. LAKE: Is is yeah. And, it's
17	for both accounts. You can see it is five hundred
18	and something for one and three hundred and
19	something for for the Layden account.
20	THE COURT: Now, this is dated 1994.
21	MR. LAKE: Yeah.
22	THE COURT: I see.
23	MR. LAKE: And, that was after all my children
24	were emancipated. My daughter was emancipated. The
25	thirteenth or the sixteenth? (Indiscernible).
26	MS. LAKE: Thirteenth.
27	MR. LAKE: Thirteenth, my granddaughter is the

27

sixteenth. I get them confused. I've had a few strokes. Sorry. And, then, I've been getting bills and it went up to \$524.00 for the Laydens in 2004 and, again, in 2004 it stayed the same price. And, then, in -- in 2006 it went up to \$4,394.00. Now, my son had been adopted in 1990 -- 1989, and --THE COURT: Well, you need to be at a --MR. LAKE: -- here's the last one. THE COURT: -- yeah, be at a microphone when MR. LAKE: Oh, okay. My -- my son was adopted in 89 by his grandparents that had him since 92 when he was abandoned by his mother -- since 82, sorry. And, I hired a lawyer back then because I was working, and as you can see, I was billed to 1991 for a child that was adopted in 89. So, that \$300.00 that they said I owed, I really didn't owe because that overpayment, actually, took care of most of the \$800.00 if not all of it for the whole THE COURT: There -- as I understand it, there might be two different tracks maintained by the state. One is that, if a child is receiving financial assistance, cash assistance particularly, from the state then, everything that is paid by the state is the responsibility, ultimately, of the

parents however, you're put on a payment schedule

then --

2 MR. LAKE: I --

THE COURT: -- that may not reflect all of the money that's owed to the state and so, when you get a notice from the state that you're behind in your payments, they are sending you a notice that says you're behind on the payment schedule of repaying to us what is owed, but this isn't the full amount that's owed. This is the payment schedule that you're behind. So, it's not clear to me from the document that you have presented whether these notices from the state are saying that you're behind in your payment -- repayment schedule or that this is the full amount that's owed. It doesn't appear to me, for example, --

MR. LAKE: Well, it says full amount owed on there. I don't know.

THE COURT: Well, I'm not sure it says that.

MR. LAKE: Does it --

THE COURT: It's -- I -- it says, you -- the entire balance of your account --

MR. LAKE: Yeah, the entire balance. That's the full amount, right?

THE COURT: -- yeah, but this -- this is probably the account -- the payments that you're supposed to be making towards the account that is -- that is failure to be paid at that point. So, the

document itself, I admit to you, is somewhat 1 2 ambiguous. It does not necessarily reflect the full amount that the state was claiming was owed, but 3 rather the repayment schedule, and they're saying 4 5 that you're delinquent in the repayment schedule. MR. LAKE: I was very good at paying my -- my 6 child support, Your Honor, but in 94 --7 THE COURT: Well, no, but it's not your child 8 support that we're talking about. It's the state's 9 claim that the child was on welfare and that's why 10 the money is owed to the state. It's not owed to 11 12 these ladies. It's -- because it wasn't that you 13 were behind in child support. 14 MR. LAKE: I always paid my child support to the state. I never paid it to them. I always -- in 15 16 fact, my wife --17 THE COURT: Right. 18 MR. LAKE: -- she was --19 THE COURT: So, you're paying two things, 20 though. MR. LAKE: -- yeah. So, I --21 22 THE COURT: You're paying two things when you 23 pay that. MR. LAKE: I am paying two things. 24 THE COURT: Yeah. One, you're paying child 25 26 support while the children are minors. The second thing you're paying is the amount of welfare that 27

was provided to the children when they were minors
and that's provided by the state, which is not the
same as the child support.

MR. LAKE: The state wouldn't even let me see
my children.

27.

THE COURT: Yeah, that doesn't mean you don't have to pay for them, though. The -- it -- it's hard to explain.

MR. LAKE: I could have raised them.

THE COURT: Yeah, no. I know. What I'm trying to explain is --

MR. LAKE: I mean they never did a custody study. They never did -- even though it was court ordered they never did a custody study.

THE COURT: Yeah. It -- it's not -- it's not an issue of whether you see the children or not.

That's not really part of it. Child support is owed to the -- to the parents, maybe a parent of the children, but that is a separate obligation -- a separate obligation from the money owed to the state of Connecticut for the welfare that the children were receiving. So, the state is seeking and still seeking, I guess, and has you under a payment schedule for the money that was put forward as welfare for the children not the child support element which would have been payable to the ladies, but that's not what you're doing. You're paying --

1 repaying the State of Connecticut for its welfare provision to the children. And, so, they put you --2 3 the state put you on a schedule of repayment, which I don't know what it was. I -- you know, \$50.00 a -4 - I don't know what it was, but that's -- that's 5 long since --6 MR. LAKE: My child support was \$25.00 per 7 child for the two -- Edna's two and it was \$10.00 a 8 9 week --10 THE COURT: To the state. 11 MR. LAKE: -- for Steven, to the state. THE COURT: There's the problem because that 12 13 \$10.00 a week was not the total -- that -- you may 14 have fallen behind in making the \$10.00 payments, 15 that's what --MR. LAKE: No, I didn't. 16 THE COURT: -- that's what some of these claims 17 18 are, but it was never -- the full amount that was owed wasn't \$10.00 a week. The state was paying a 19 lot more of that -- than that for Steven. 20 21 MR. LAKE: Well, I don't -- I don't know why 22 they were paying money to -- to the Laydens because they -- I mean, they -- they're the ones that took 23 24 the child from me. I mean, I was on the road when 25 the kid was -- in December I had the same problem, the year before. I came in off the road. I went to 26

her house to go see my -- my son and my daughter.

27

1 THE COURT: You're saying December of what year 2 you're talking? 3 MR. LAKE: December of 81. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 MR. LAKE: I look in the window and my son 6 Steven is carrying a tray of cocaine around. I'm 7 beating on the door, and nobody answers. I break into the house, there's nobody there. My daughter's in the crib upstairs. She's what, three months old, 9 10 four months old. And, my -- so, I sat there and 11 waited for her -- it was, like, six o'clock in the 12 morning I got there. It was after seven o'clock at 13 night when she finally came home. She had me 14 arrested because I smacked her on top of the head 15 and her father came in and kicked me with steel toed shoes. I wear a knot on my spine to this day. And, 16 17 -- but nothing happened about the kids. A couple months lat -- I was told, you come from the projects 18 19 'cause I -- I grew up down at the gardens -- Laurel 20 Gardens, 385 Main Street. 21 THE COURT: Yeah. So, a lot of people come 22 from the projects, yeah. 23 MR. LAKE: Yeah, well, they used to hold it 24 against us because they -- people there were pretty 25 nasty at the time. And, -- it didn't mean I was,

THE COURT: I've got to tell you, I know a lot

26

27

but, you know, --

1 of people that lived over there back in 81 and --2 MR. LAKE: A lot of good people lived over 3 there. THE COURT: Good people. Good people. 5 MR. LAKE: There were a lot of idiots, too. 6 THE COURT: Yeah. I'm gonna return these to 7 Mr. Lake. MR. LAKE: But --8 THE COURT: Yeah. 9 10 MR. LAKE: -- now, and -- then, I was told 11 that oh, you're trash. You come from the gardens. 12 You're this that and the other thing. You can't 13 have -- so, they gave her a restraining order. 14 February, I -- I had gotten hurt on the job, but in 15 -- I got hurt in January. In February I find out 16 that --THE COURT: Are we still in 81? 17 18 MR. LAKE: Eighty-two. THE COURT: Eighty-two. 19 20 MR. LAKE: That she abandoned my son at her 21 parent's house, and she abandoned the daughter at 22 her house because the daughter wasn't allowed at the parent's house for some reason. I don't know. And, 23 that's why they got to keep my son. I fought and 24 25 got custody of my daughter, and a month lat -- a month and a half later I went for my divorce from my 26 27 first wife, which my mother had my kids. I show --

showed the judge all my documentation showing how I got custody of my one daughter. He -- he said in the divorce that I should have had custody of my -- my -- Charles and Rebecca and with a custody study. Leslie Rader (phonetic) -- Officer Leslie Rader was in the courtroom that day, said she'd take care of it. I kept hounding her and hounding her and hounding her to do the custody study, but I lived in New York. She told me she don't trust nobody from New York to do the custody study. In 88, I, finally, got to visit my kids, brung (sic) them up to the house. We had them all summer and I decided, well, if they don't want to do the custody study to hell with them. I'll just keep them. That -- that wasn't a very good decision.

THE COURT: No.

MR. LAKE: Leslie Rader had me back down there, told me if I said anything other than, yes, Your Honor, that I was going to -- to be put in prison. I would never see my daughter that I got from -- from the state or I wouldn't see my step-kill -- children. So, that's how that went, and -- but the judge did order that I have custody but the state just, kind of, like, eh court orders don't mean nothing. And, I -- the -- the -- the divorce documents I have given to child support enforcement I don't know how many -- in 2005 I had to go get a

1 copy. I gave it to Mr. Rodriguez who sit -- who 2 used to sit in -- in Ms. Lockwood's desk at child 3 enforcement. Then, I gave it to -- to Mrs. -- when 4 we got in court in 2014, oh they made a big deal out 5 -- all of -- so much money I handed them the -- we 6 don't have that. Well, I gave it to -- I gave it to 7 Mr. Rodriguez. I gave it to -- I -- they --8 Congressman -- I can't remember Congress -- Murphy 9 back -- back -- he -- he wrote a letter to the state 10 and -- and -- you're Judge Winslow, right? 11 THE COURT: Yes. 12 MR. LAKE: Yes. Okay. He -- he wrote a -- in 13 2009, he wrote a letter to the state and everything 14 and I was supposed to be in front of you and you 15 wouldn't hear the case. It -- you said it was too 16 old. 17 THE COURT: I think you children were fully 18 grown at that time. 19 MR. LAKE: They -- they're still grown. THE COURT: Yes, but I mean in 2009 they were 20 adults and -- and we -- you couldn't modify your 21 22 child support at that point. 23 MR. LAKE: Okay. THE COURT: I do remember that. 24 25 MR. LAKE: Yeah. 26 THE COURT: Yeah. MR. LAKE: And, -- and, you know, --2.7

1 THE COURT: But -- but -- okay. 2 MR. LAKE: -- I -- I gave it to the head honcho 3 up in Hartford. THE COURT: I'm sorry. You couldn't -- you 4 5 couldn't modify your child custody at that point. That was the issue. 6 7 MR. LAKE: No, I didn't to do that. THE COURT: Yeah. 8 9 MR. LAKE: Because I knew I couldn't. 10 THE COURT: Yeah. 1.1 MR. LAKE: I mean, they're living on their own. 12 They wouldn't --13 THE COURT: Yeah. 14 MR. LAKE: -- I mean, you know, but --THE COURT: I -- yeah, I -- I -- you're right. 15 I didn't allow you to go forward, but, you know, --16 17 MR. LAKE: -- you know, I've been try -- I've 18 been trying to take care -- because this is -- I 19 mean, I -- I could take care of my own kids. I 20 mean, I never had a custody, I mean I even -- the --21 the woman that did the custody took 18 months. Jan 22 Quinlan, the -- the child support investigator, she 23 came investigated me and my -- and my wife and I 24 would not get married until after we -- we got 25 custody of the kids because we were not getting 26 married over kids. She got married over her first 27 child. I got married over my first child. That

wasn't happening again. The second marriage was gonna happen right and so, I fought for my daughter. 3 I got my daughter on my own. And, the -- the reason 4 why her parents wouldn't take the child was because the child had disabilities. And, you know, I fought 6 with her and my wife fought with her and she's a --7 she's -- she works in a bank doing -- doing loans now. And, you know, she --THE COURT: It doesn't sound like she's

disabled now.

MR. LAKE: She -- no, we fought with her. And, we got anoth -- I've got a stepdaughter which we're still fighting with, but, you know, we gotta get them through it. You know, you got -- you gotta -you gotta have a way in life and you got -- I'm doing the same thing with Steven because he -- he -he was raised really wrong.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. LAKE: The State did not do him any favors. And just because his grandparents have a lot of money and things like that don't -- I mean, their daughter committed suicide because of what she went through in that house and -- and he -- I mean, he's an amputee and everything else now because he just -- but he --

THE COURT: Why -- why is he an amputee? I don't understand. What's that got to do --

26

27

1

2

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 MR. LAKE: He got mad and crashed his car and -2 3 THE COURT: Oh. Oh, well. Okay. 4 MR. LAKE: And his grandparents didn't believe in -- in -- in counseling. Now, he's been in 5 6 counseling for over a year now and we've been 7 talking since 2015. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MR. LAKE: And, you know, he -- first he was 10 gonna come over and half kill me and then he figured out that, hey, you know, you ain't as bad as what 11 12 everybody says you are. And, --13 THE COURT: I think that's really the way you 14 have to approach all of this at this point, Mr. Lake, that you really have to go forward because the 15 problem with --16 17 MR. LAKE: The problem --18 THE COURT: -- undoing or modifying these 19 orders is that it it's impossible to do it. So, you 20 know, you're beating your head against a wall trying 21 to change these --22 MR. LAKE: The problem is I don't have enough 23 money for my medication. 24 THE COURT: I -- there -- there's, absolutely, 25 26 MR. LAKE: I mean, --27 THE COURT: -- really nothing that can be done

about --

MR. LAKE: -- there's nothing I did wrong. I mean, I did nothing wrong for the State of Connecticut to give my kids to somebody. And, -- and --

THE COURT: What you can do, and I'm not going to give you legal advice here, but if you can show that your budget is constrained by the repayment schedule that's been set up that you -- that your circumstances are such that it is putting a hardship on your health situation or something --

MR. LAKE: Well, if -- if you're --

THE COURT: You may -- just a moment, -- you may seek to modify the rate at which you make repayment to the state. That is different from seeking to change the amount of the arrearage, which you can't do, but you may, if you can prove that your circumstances are as strained as you're -- as you're explaining, you might be able to prevail upon the magistrate to do something to modify something but else, and that is the dollar amounts that are being extracted by the state. If you can show that you're having difficulty making ends meet with regard to your health and so forth. That is -- I'm just giving you a little bit of a -- a ray of hope or leaving the door open a crack, but you should concentrate on showing the hardship that you're

1	suffering now with regard to the repayment schedule
2	and put aside complicating the situation in front of
3	the magistrate by asserting that the dollar amount
4	that's owed is incorrect because you that's,
5	absolutely, hopeless, absolutely, hopeless. So,
6	concentrate on what you can possibly change, which
7	is what you're telling me now is your real hardship
8	is that you are having trouble making ends meet with
9	your medication and so forth. That's an entirely
10	different situation and if you were to file a motion
11	to modify before the magistrate's court on that
12	basis and show that your circumstances are strained
13	then there might be some possibility of a
14	modification. Do you understand what I'm saying?
15	MR. LAKE: Would I have to show up in court
16	because my
17	THE COURT: Yes, you would.
18	MR. LAKE: my my wife is moving me to
19	California because I have asbestosis.
20	THE COURT: Yeah, I hear you.
21	MR. LAKE: Because I used to be a long-haul
22	trucker and we used to haul asbestos out of
23	THE COURT: How soon are you going?
24	MR. LAKE: asbestos Quebec and then backhaul
25	food.
26	THE COURT: I can't hear from you ma'am. How
27	soon do you plan to leave?

1 MR. LAKE: We think the closing of her house is 2 on the  $30^{th}$ . 3 THE COURT: Of this month? MR. LAKE: Of this month. THE COURT: Is Steven here? 5 MR. LAKE: Excuse me? 6 7 THE COURT: Would you be coming back to Connecticut? 8 9 MR. LAKE: No. Steven's even thinking about 10 moving out with us. THE COURT: Well, I can only make one 11 12 suggestion that might help there, and I don't know 13 whether I'm speaking out of turn, but because the 14 magistrate's court now has the ability to conduct a 15 hearing over the internet, when you move to 16 California, if you file your motion to modify before 17 you leave, get it served properly upon --MR. LAKE: Okay. Well, that wasn't my fault. I 18 19 -- I did -- did the -- the --20 THE COURT: Yeah, it's the state that is the 21 other party here. 22 MR. LAKE: -- marshal said that he was gonna --23 and -- and that they -- they said that they were 24 gonna take care of that marshal. 25 THE COURT: Well, but, you know, it doesn't do you any good to say it's not my fault. You're 26 27 supposed to follow the rules like everybody else and

1 -- and don't take advice --MR. LAKE: No, I did but -- but he never got 2 3 back to me. He -- he never sent me an email back saying he wasn't gonna serve it. He just never got 4 5 back to me. THE COURT: We're going forward now. We're not 6 7 looking back. 8 MR. LAKE: Okay. 9 THE COURT: All right. so, it's possible that 10 a magistrate may agree to hold a hearing remotely since it really involves only the state and not 11 12 other people, that you could possibly, but you would 13 have to be able to provide your documentation to the magistrate in advance of any hearing. And, it --14 you can't just waive it in front of a camera --15 MR. LAKE: No, no. 16 THE COURT: -- from home. You'd have to send 17 it in --18 MR. LAKE: Yeah, send it in with -- with the --19 THE COURT: -- in advance for the -- for the 20 magistrate to see. So, --21 MR. LAKE: Well, I -- I brung in -- I -- I 22 would send the copy of my -- my social security 23 24 stuff with the form anyway. THE COURT: Yes, but it's -- that's not just 25 what you're based on. You're -- you're basing your 26

claim on the -- the fact that your expenses and your

27

income don't match up.

MR. LAKE: Right.

THE COURT: And, that you want to reduce. Now, you're not probably going to be able to reduce to zero in any case, but you might be able to get a little bit of help. I'm not guaranteeing you anything at all, but it's the only way that you could possibly address your problem at this point. You cannot change the arrearage. Are we -- I hope my message is clear. I know your wife is listening carefully, too. And, -- and I wish you luck in the future, but that's it for today.

MR. LAKE: I just figured that because they're -- that these were -- were marked so low and then, all of a sudden, they went up and the state was --

THE COURT: Yeah, but I tried to --

MR. LAKE: -- under federal corruption charges because of -- of some of this stuff. And, I was, like, --

THE COURT: -- I've tried to explain how those things are possibly misleading, and I can understand that you might have been misled by them, but I'm not. So, I -- I can't say that they helped your cause. And, in any case, you can't appeal the arrearage finding after 14 days. So, you know a hint I've given you as to what you might possibly do in the future.

1	MR. LAKE: Can when you when you give us
2	the your answer
3	THE COURT: Well, I've given it to you. I I
4	don't know what you mean by, when I give you my
5	answer.
6	MR. LAKE: Well, I, usually, get a mailing of
7	what your
8	THE COURT: Oh, you'll get a notice from the
9	court as to what I've ruled today, but it's it's
10	done.
11	MR. LAKE: Okay. I've had a few strokes so,
12	THE COURT: TIA's you mean, sort of, or
13	MR. LAKE: my memory is pretty well shot.
14	THE COURT: Well, we'll send you the notice.
15	MR. LAKE: And,
16	THE COURT: Fortunately, your wife is here.
17	She got it all upstairs?
18	MS. LAKE: Yes.
19	THE COURT: So, I think she's heard me, too.
20	And, it's been a pleasure talking with you. I wish
21	I wish you well in the future. Look to the
22	future.
23	MR. LAKE: Well,
24	THE COURT: Look to the future. We're going to
25	recess at this time.
26	(The matter is concluded.)
27	

DBD-FA14-4018720-S

SUPERIOR COURT

CYNTHIA LAYDEN

: JUDICIAL DISTRICT

OF DANBURY

 $\mathbf{v}$  .

: AT DANBURY, CONNECTICUT

CHARLES LAKE

NOVEMBER 4, 2022

## CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription of the audio recording of the above-referenced case, heard in Superior Court, Judicial District of Danbury, Danbury, Connecticut, before the Honorable Heidi G. Winslow, Judge Trial Referee on the 4th day of November, 2022.

Dated this 23rd day of December, 2022 in Danbury, Connecticut.

Angela Fiorello

Court Recording Monitor