Fw: How does a citizen fight or protect themselves from a Corrupt State?

From: Charles Lake (considerthis@verizon.net)

To: considerthis@verizon.net

Date: Saturday, January 23, 2021, 03:16 PM EST

---- Forwarded Message -----

From: Charles Lake <considerthis@verizon.net>

To: msnbctvinfo@nbcuni.com <msnbctvinfo@nbcuni.com> Sent: Sunday, January 10, 2021, 07:35:50 PM EST

Subject: How does a citizen fight or protect themselves from a Corrupt State?

How does a citizen fight or protect themselves from a Corrupt State?

I have been told that the only way I can STOP the State of Connecticut from slandering my name and taking as much money as they can even though there was NO Investigation ever done is to get help from the Media

For the whole story please see: http://www.comfortncolor.com/CT%20Book/Parents%20Rights.html

You will find the Whistleblower Complaint and the letter written to the ACLU that was returned unopened along with All Court documentations and letters written to Representatives on this webpage.

I am asking that the Deadbeat Parents Punishment Act and the Child Support Recovery Act be amended so it will Protect Parents from Corrupt States that Double Bill and take Children without an Investigation (See Office of Congressman Antonio R. Delgado Dated10/30/2020). For proof of this please read the letter to Lt Governor Susan Bysiewicz.

I have been disabled since 1992 and my children were taken in 1972 (Yes, it has been going on 43 years).

In 2015 when I was in court there where only about 5 White parents the rest of the over 100 parents where Black and Brown. The State victimizes the People that cannot afford help.

Please can you HELP!

Charles Lake

considerthis@verizon.net

Here are some links to past articles showing Fraud by the State of Connecticut that shows this State has been under Federal oversight since 1989:

http://www.comfortncolor.com/CT%20Book/C36_Timeline_%20Connecticut%20Department%20of%20Children%20&%20Families%20Under%20Federal%20Oversight.pdf

https://www.scribd.com/document/254535831/CT-Task-Force-Spars-With-Parents-Over-Billing-Fraud-in-Family-Court

Family court judges face the wrath of unhappy litigants and legislators



https://www.amazon.com/Worst-Interests-Child-Trafficking-Children-ebook/dp/B018BK1H70

Anne Stevenson – Family Court in America




Connecticut S Corrupt Family Courts


Connecticut Task Force hears accounts of victimization by family court

Michael Nowacki vs. Corruption in Connecticut Family Courts





Minnie Gonzalez takes on judicial accountability in Connecticut




One legislator's solitary campaign against family court judges



Toxic marriages, epic custody fights and an inner-city lawmaker's willingness to antagonize colleagues and dress...

You Ask, We Answer: Why does the CT Dept. of Children and Families give debit cards to clients? | Yankee Institute for Public Policy



 $\frac{\text{https://www.cga.ct.gov/jud/tfs/20131001_Task\%20Force\%20to\%20Study\%20Legal\%20Disputes\%20Involving\%20the\%20Care\%20and\%20Custody\%20of\%20Minor\%20Children/20140109/Testimony\%20-\%20Stevenson,\%20Anne.pdf$

https://www.cga.ct.gov/jud/tfs/20131001_Task%20Force%20to%20Study%20Legal%20Disputes%20Involving%20the%20Care%20and%20Custody%20of%20Minor%20Children/20140109/Testimony%20%20Skip,%20Susan.pdf

 $\underline{\text{http://www.comfortncolor.com/CT\%20Book/C61_How\%20the\%20legal\%20system\%20often\%20ignores} \\ \text{s\%20the\%20constitutional\%20rights\%20of\%20parents.pdf}$

http://www.comfortncolor.com/CT%20Book/C59 CT public corruption.pdf

http://www.comfortncolor.com/CT%20Book/Conn.%20Child-welfare%20Suit%20Could%20Affect%20Phila.%20Case%20-%20Philly.com.pdf

CT Task Force Spars With Parents Over Billing Fraud in Family Court -Washington Times, Anne Stevenson, December 26, 2013 •June 2013: CT State Legislature creates family court task force to address consumer complaints re: GAL oversight, billing fraud. •December 2013: Task force convenes. AFCC members appointed onto task force to "solve" problem they are accused of creating. •Parents request audit of court vendors: -State auditor's report showed significant money, resources missing from family court budget -AFCC affiliated vendor CT Resources Group double billed parents, court, health insurance company for services not performed

Racketeering • A system of organized crime traditionally involving the extortion of money from businesses by intimidation, violence, or other illegal methods. • A pattern of illegal activity (such as bribery, extortion, fraud, and murder) carried out as part of an enterprise (such as a crime syndicate) that is owned or controlled by those engaged in illegal activity. See Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO) USCA §§1951-1960 -Black's Law Dictonary

CT Court Employees Face Tough Questions Over Conflicts of Interest -Washington Times, Anne Stevenson, 5/20/2013 •2012: Family court judges, administrators started a corporation with the professionals who appear before them on cases (attorneys, custody evaluator, psychologist, GAL.) •Operated business without registration for 30 years. 2013: •Business not registered with IRS, Secretary of State, AG's Charity Registry, etc. •Court admins who are corporate directors use court resources to hire company as a contractless vendor, promote business via court offices. •Court administrator who oversees grants uses court email to solicit fees, donations, membership dues from 500 family court professionals. •What accounts were they using? Association of Family and Conciliation

Association of Family and Conciliation Courts (AFCC) Y AFCC is a trade association founded by family court judges, court admins, professionals who appear before them. Y Can be a court vendor hired to run vital services, conduct studies (ex. Mediation, domestic violence screening, court services, employee training, etc.) Y PROBLEM: Inbred, funded with tax \$, private donations

Why is this a problem? • Quality Control: Affiliation provides disincentive to protect consumers instead of business partners/affiliates. • Judges may make decisions based on business interests, not evidence/law • Conflicted professionals may provide clients with sub-standard services to promote business with affiliated professionals.