

Cynthia's Petition/Brief The reasons for this appeal are:

Cynthia Layden v. Charles Lake DBD-FA- 144018720-S

I have brought information to the court regarding the incorrectness of the billing regarding these child support cases and it has not been properly considered in 2014-2015 and in 2022.

In 2014 -2015 while in court with Justice Anthony Fusco and Child Support Enforcement Supervisor Abele Grillo and Child Support Enforcement Officer Michelle Lockwood we (my 2nd wife (Joann) and I) were told by Officer Michelle Lockwood that there was no appeal to family court all that is left is to pay what we tell you. If I knew I could have appealed, I would have in 2015 but because of the lies I was fed and the corruption I did not know I could appeal back then that is why I waited and tried to get help before refileing.

In fact, on the first court date in 2014 Michelle Lockwood told the court that this was an old case and admitted all the files were lost. When I pulled out my divorce papers with supporting documentation (**Exhibit A**) from my files both Mrs. Lockwood and Justice Fusco were unaware of the divorce papers or that the divorce was done in Connecticut and had rulings pertaining to the child custody and support.

Justice Fusco, Abele Grillo, Michelle Lockwood, and Assistant Attorney General Mee Carolyn Wong refused to look at any of the other documents/bills from Connecticut I had/have that shows I have been over billed and double billed by the Child Support system. These are the documents that I supplied to Justice Fusco and to your court in 2022.

The main reason I asked the court to put the 2 cases on the same hearing is the final bill for child support from the state is on the same bill and it was heard all together in 2014 - 2015.

First the overbilling:

On 7/7/1987 Cynthia died (this is when support should have stopped), the grandparents started adoption proceedings and in 7/10/1989 the grandparents won the adoption which also should have stopped the Child Support Charges to me Charles Lake. But, according to Connecticut records, which is in the brief and exhibits, it shows that the State kept charging me until and maybe even beyond 1/5/1991 (**Exhibit B**). This is 29 months after Cynthia died (or an overbilling of approximately \$1,247.00) and 17 months after Steven was adopted (or an overbilling of approximately \$731.00).

Now the double billing:

Let us look at the **final bill** in 1994 which is **Exhibit C**. It shows I owed \$336.24 and that is with the overbilling I have already showed.

It does not end there: 1/31/2004 I received a bill from child support enforcement for a total of \$524.01! **Exhibit D**

7/17/2004 I received a bill from child support enforcement for the same amount of a total of \$524.01 for the second time! (C50_Layden_overdue_7_17_2004.pdf) **Exhibit E**

12/30/2006 I received a bill from child support enforcement for a total of \$4,394.96! (C51_Layden_Overdue12_31_2006-1.pdf) **Exhibit F**

8/2/2014 I received a bill from child support enforcement for a total of \$4,166.81! (C52_ArreargeCindy8_2_2014.pdf) **Exhibit G**

How does a bill grow after it has been paid for? More importantly how does the State charge for child support after the children age out of the system!? The last of my children that the State was giving my mother for aged out on 1/13/1994.

If Justice Fusco, Abele Grillo, Michelle Lockwood, and Assistant Attorney General Mee Carolyn Wong would have been fair and looked at the bills/documents that I brought to court in 2014 – 2015 and gave me a fair hearing the State would not be garnishing my social security for a fraudulent child support bill holding me on the dead-beat dads list and essentially prisoner all these years.

I know it was Justice Fusco on the telephonic hearing, but because the sound was so low I could not hear who the other person was but I believe that it was Assistant Attorney General Mee Carolyn Wong because I did hear her say I did not serve her.

I tried to serve her. I was told to pick from a list of CT Marshalls. I called CT Marshall John Lepito and was told to e-mail the waivers (**Exhibit H**) to him with the subject line Attention Alan. Evidently Alan does not serve paperwork with waived fees and because Alan never contacted me and sent it back to the court and the court did not contact me, she was not served. I do not know Alan's last name but his phone # is 860-214-9271 if you would like to confirm.

In the interest of justice, I would like to remind the court that when I was in court in 2014 – 2015 the State of Connecticut was under federal corruption charges (Exhibits I, J, K, L) for double charging and over charging parents for child support which is what this case is all about.