

Charles Lake
72 Old Route 23
Cairo, NY 12413
518-622-2097
considernis@verizon.net

The Honorable Deirdre M. Daly
US Attorney for the District of Connecticut
Connecticut Financial Center
157 Church Street, Floor 25
New Haven, CT 06510

Dear Ms. Daly

On February 3, 2015 my Congressman Chris Gibson wrote you asking you to help me (Charles Lake). I received a response from Jennifer R. Laraia from your office and she said that your office is responsible for prosecuting violations of criminal laws.

What is more criminal then a State (Connecticut is not alone) abducting the children from parents that can not afford legal help for the sole purpose of padding the numbers of children in there Foster Child System to get money from the Federal Government. Violating rulings from the U.S. Supreme Court on parenting as far back as the 1800's. This is Fraud! My case proves it!

Because of the wording of the response from Jennifer R. Laraia I did not see any way that your office was going to intervene! Because of the Hartford Courant article below I am hopeful.

On February 4, 2015 the Hartford Courant article U.S. Forms New Team To Fight "Persistent," "High-Profile" Corruption In Connecticut. It names you as the person who announced the task force and whose office will run it <http://www.courant.com/news/connecticut/hc-corruption-task-force-0205-20150204-story.html> !

On April 21,2015 the Washington Post article explains, parents have the Constitution on their side, but cautions that bureaucrats and lower court judges routinely ignore such petty issues as constitutional rights when it comes to enforcing the their conceptions of “the best interests of the child”:
(<https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/volokh-conspiracy/wp/2015/04/21/how-the-legal-system-ignores-the-constitutional-rights-of-parents/>).

The State of Connecticut can not say they had “the best interests of the child”. According to Director John Dillon of child support enforcement, the investigation that Mr. Dillon had done between 2009 and 2010 found that no investigation or custody study was ever done on any of the three children (Charles, Rebecca and Steven); even through it was court ordered for Charles and Rebecca in 1986. Especially since I won custody of Tiffany in 1985 from the State of Connecticut through a custody study and the State of Connecticut found it was in “the best interests of the child” for her to be with me!

This is a Fraud that violates everyone; parents, children and taxpayers. My case shows the States are also violating parents by denying them their rights as a U.S. Citizen according to the U.S. Supreme Court rulings.

In 2015 the federal budget for Foster Care was 40.5 Billion Dollars just to subsidize the States system.

Jennifer R. Laraia also states that your office (United States Attorneys Office) has limited investigative and prosecutorial resources. Attached you will find the letter and the CD I sent to the FBI.

This shows a clear violation of due process by the State of Connecticut!

It seems that when a parent abducts their children it constitutes a criminal offense and the FBI will spend millions of taxpayers dollars and look for the parent for decades!

But when a State abducts children by violating the rule of law and due process its good business and the parent is on their with own no help!

The U.S. Supreme Court has consistently protected parental rights, including it among those rights deemed fundamental such as: The Constitution also protects "the individual interest in avoiding disclosure of personal matters." Federal Courts (and State Courts), under Griswold can protect, under the "life, liberty and pursuit of happiness" phrase of the Declaration of Independence, the right of a man to enjoy the mutual care, company, love and affection of his children, and this cannot be taken away from him without due process of law. There is a family right to privacy which the state cannot invade or it becomes actionable for civil rights damages. *Griswold v. Connecticut*, 381 US 479, (1965).

Also; The Court stressed, "the parent-child relationship is an important interest that undeniably warrants deference and, absent a powerful countervailing interest, protection." A parent's interest in the companionship, care, custody and management of his or her children rises to a constitutionally secured right, given the centrality of family life as the focus for personal meaning and responsibility. *Stanley v. Illinois*, 405 US 645, 651; 92 S Ct 1208, (1972).

Both of these ruling were before 1978 when my children were abducted by the State!

It is appalling that the State of Connecticut feels that thier State is above the Federal Laws!

Again because of the wording of the response from Jennifer R. Laraia I did not see any way that your office was going to intervene! I went back to court several times the last time was in July 2015.

In June I was told to meet with Assistant Attorney General Carolyn Mee Wong; She asked for my paperwork. I handed her the CD of all the paperwork Mrs. Wong handed the Disk back to me telling me that she had no way to access the disk. I asked her for her E-mail address she said she did not have one. In accessing the Assistant Attorney General employee database I found that her E-mail address is mee.wong@ct.gov. Not a good start, starting with a misrepresentation!

In July I meet with Assistant Attorney General Carolyn Mee Wong for about 5 minutes. Mrs. Wong said that there was nothing that could be done about the State not doing the court ordered custody study in 1986. She also said that she had no idea how the state sent me conflicting statements (On CD in file named Honorable Daly) and that she had to let Child Support Enforcement Supervisor Abele Grillo explain it to me.

Mr. Grillo told me that the conflicting statements were because as I missed payments and these payments would be added as the arrearage of that quarter and would be sent as a separate bill. Then it would be added to the total arrearage.

I told Mr. Grillo that there was a big problem with his explanation! First Steven was adopted in 1987 to his mother's parents so the total arrearage should have ended there. The conflicting arrearage statements are from much later than that (See Enclosed). But because there was never **due process** on Steven (unlike with his sister Tiffany, where the State did a custody study and I was awarded custody) this bill added up when it should have never been in the first place.

Second Charles left my mother's house in 1993 and Rebecca in 1994 so the total arrearage should have ended there. Again the conflicting arrearage statements are from much later than that (See Enclosed). I told him that payments were being made even though I do not owe this. Again because there was never **due process** according to Director John Dillon of child support enforcement, (the investigation that Mr. Dillon had done between 2009 and 2010 found that no investigation or custody study was ever done on any of the three children (Charles, Rebecca and Steven); even though it was court ordered for Charles and Rebecca in 1986) this bill was able to grow without review.

I told Mr. Grillo that the amounts are clearly wrong because the only payments that the State has are the ones that I supplied in 2014!

Mr. Grillo told me that paperwork was lost by the state but, it was up to me to prove that the state took my IRS returns from 1979 and up and for me to find the receipts for all other payments made. Mr. Grillo then handed me paperwork on what the state expected me to pay.

Assistant Attorney General Carolyn Mee Wong, Mr. Grillo and myself went in front of Justice Anthony P. Fusco. Assistant Attorney General Mee Wong and Mr. Grillo told Justice Fusco that they were giving me more time to find the receipts for all other payments made along with IRS returns that went to the state. Justice Fusco then set a date in September for me to return.

As the Connecticut State Marshal walked me out of the courtroom Justice Anthony P. Fusco stated that I was in the wrong court with a laugh in his voice! The State Marshal then told me he has been in the courtroom every time I was there and he never seen anyone get "Fuc*ed over as bad as you".

This goes way beyond cruel and unusual punishment to take children from a parent without cause then make the parent pay over and over in many ways is appalling!

So I ask for the help of the U.S. Attorney's Office in this matter.

Charles Lake

